

DEFINITION OF MISOGYN-HAMMING

Misogyn-ham verb. 1. To make a public display of pre-conspired defeat in defending women's rights; 2. To pretend to be powerless and fail after trying your best to defend women's rights and being defeated by a more powerful force; 3. To intentionally dishearten, depress, and discourage people in support of progress for women's rights by making a show that you failed to be respected; 4. To hide misogyny behind a façade of a powerless victim, especially through putting on the waterworks; 5. To loudly proclaim within earshot of others frustration, resentment, grief, helplessness, or outrage about how women are not heard or listened to as they speak up for themselves when actually you are a woman who is a serial rapist.

From misogyny, noun, and ham, verb, to act with exaggerated expression of emotion; to overact.

A misogyn-ham is a person who despises women and wants them to suffer; what makes a misogyn-ham different from a regular misogynist is that this is a person who makes a huge public display of being dominated which is totally fake, hammed up, and planned out ahead of time for the purpose of delaying progress towards outgrowing a male-dominated society. Misogyn-hams want other people to be victims of a male-dominated society forever, and they make their contribution to this through a public display of pretending to be victims of patriarchy themselves; but often these public presentations were scripted out ahead of time with their male cohorts who are on the same page as them. Incidentally, the laws against conspiracy to commit harassment and misdemeanor harassment upon large groups of students were broken before and when several misogyn-hams acted out pre-planned scenes on college campuses in 2016.

A classic example of misogyn-hamming is when Senator Kamala Harris and Senator Elizabeth Warren were false-stepping at the beginning of the Congressional session of 2017, making loud objections to the Senate confirmation of Jeff Sessions as Attorney General, and getting shut down. When this "shut down" was covered in the news, Senator Ron Wyden, a white male, made a special point to the press that he did not have the same problem with getting shut down that Senator Kamala Harris did. Wyden, Harris, Warren, and Sessions are all on the same team—Team Rape, and the opposition to Jeff Sessions being confirmed to Attorney General was just one big photo-op to convince people some of them are liberals.

Women who come out with reports of sexual assault by a person appointed to be Supreme Court judge that are based on events over 15 years old, waiting until the end of the Senate confirmation hearing more than 60 days after the nomination was announced are misogynhamming and working to promote lack of self-worth in people who survived sexual violence.

What exactly is the political definition of liberal and conservative when viewed through the lens of the rape machine? Do liberals advocate for shorter prison sentences and greater leniency for the "customers" of human trafficking? Are there any groups on the political spectrum of right-wing conservative or left-wing liberal that consider human trafficking in the United States to be an issue of domestic terrorism? If being politically conservative means pro-rape, there are a lot of extremely conservative Democrats in Congress.

Another great example of misogyn-hamming is when actress Meryl Streep attacked the president during her Golden Globes speech with the presentation of defending our democracy; yet her message about how

fragile freedom is was planned to promote fear and feelings of disempowerment among the people of this nation.

Emily Doe misogyn-hammed from 2016-2018. This woman was not sexually assaulted by Turner in this lifetime. She chose her words very carefully to avoid breaking the law against perjury in court. She made a public display of female disempowerment that would defy the statutes of decency within the press; had she truly been the victim of the crime that was publicized in 2016, she would have an airtight case for a civil lawsuit against the press who published information about the gruesome assault she survived without her knowledge or consent. She supposedly found out what happened during the time she was unconscious by seeing it on the news the next day, severely traumatizing her and her family. Years go by, a huge amount of support for her is gathered around the world, and yet strangely, she doesn't have the strength or the power to file a civil lawsuit upon the press for the damages they caused to her by violating their own protocol.

What better way to send a message out to crime victims who have survived sexual violence that the popular consensus is their privacy is not worth respecting? And what better way to advertise human trafficking in Santa Clara County, than to announce that an unconscious woman can get walked in on by two witnesses while surviving assault and still have no guarantee of winning her case? Is it the freedom of the press to publish information about the graphic details of sexual assault survived by any anonymous victim of a high profile figure, or is it a threat to discourage crime victims from filing police reports? Emily Doe's message to the public in her very carefully chosen words, "You took away my worth."

Fake news. Just because DT said it, doesn't mean the opposite is true.